

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/145,982	09/03/1998	TOMOHARU HASE	684.2728	6632	
5514 7	590 06/22/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA			NGUYEN, HUNG		
NEW YORK,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			2851		
			DATE MAILED: 06/22/200	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1 · · · · ·				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)	
	09/145,982	HASE, TOMOHAR	RU	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	.)	
	Hung Henry V Nguyen	2851	An	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence ad	dress	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re ion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT statute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely HS from the mailing date of this co NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□	This action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice un	,	·	merits is	
Disposition of Claims				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>36,37,44,57,58,65 and 68-82</u> is/ 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit 5) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>36,44,57,58 and 65</u> is/are allowe 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>68-82</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and continuous cont	thdrawn from consideration. ed.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa] accepted or b)☐ objected to b	•		
Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the country. The oath or declaration is objected to by the country of the country o	correction is required if the drawing(s	s) is objected to. See 37 CF	• '	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for	ments have been received. ments have been received in Ap e priority documents have been r sureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National	Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Poforonces Cited (PTO 892)	A) 🗆 (alabada	mmon/ /DTC 442\		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-943) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/5 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)	mmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO -)-152)	

Art Unit: 2851

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 74-77 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

As to claim 74, the recitation of "wherein said first aperture, said third aperture, said second aperture are disposed along the named order, with respect to a rotational direction about an optical axis of said apparatus" is ambiguous and indefinite. It is unclear how to arrange the apertures as claimed. It is not clearly whether the rotational direction about the optical axis is clockwise or counter clockwise?

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

As the best claimed subject matters are understood (see rejection under 112, second paragraph, supra). Claims are anticipated by reference.

With respect to claims 74 and 77, Tanimoto et al (fig.1) discloses an exposure apparatus comprising all basic features of the instant claims including: a first separating portion for

Art Unit: 2851

separating a first space and second space from each other, the first separating portion having a first aperture (a1) and a second aperture (a2) and a second separating portion for separating the second space and a third space from each other, the second portion having a third aperture (b1) and a fourth aperture (b2) wherein "the first aperture, the third aperture, the second aperture and the fourth aperture are disposed along the named order, with respect to a rotational direction about an optical axis of the exposure apparatus (see figure 1).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 68-73 and 78-82 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tanimoto (U.S.Pat. 4,690,528) in view of Siga et al (U.S.Pat. 4,725,126).

With respect to claims 68-73 and 78-82, Tanimoto et al (fig.7) discloses an exposurc apparatus comprising all basic features of the instant claims including: an optical system (201) having at least one optical element (L1-L5) and including a supporting portion (205) for supporting at least one optical element and having a plurality of apertures (211-213) through which a gas can flow; an illumination optical system (102) for illuminating a reticle (R) and a gas supply (223); the optical system comprises a plurality of spaces (a-d) where the notches of the two adjacent two lenses are placed at different positions with respect to rotational direction

Application/Control Number: 09/145,982 Page 4

Art Unit: 2851

about the optical axis of the optical system. Tanimoto does not expressly disclose the notches being formed at an end portion outside an affective light flux of light from the light source. However, this structure is well known per se. For example, Siga et al teaches a lens (11) having notches/ dents/ indentation (12) formed at the end portions outside the effective light flux of light (see col.1, lines 16-18 and figures 1-3). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Tanimoto and Siga et al to obtain the invention as specified in the above mentioned claims of the present application. It would have been obvious to a skilled artisan to modify the lens of Tanimoto and to form notches at an end portion outside an effective light flux of light from the light source as taught by Siga et al. The at least purposes of doing so would have been to and to provide a flow passage of gas between spaces of the lenses and to reduce the optical strain of the lenses.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 36, 37, 44, 57, 58 and 65 are allowed.
- 7. Claims 75-76 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Amendment/Argument

8. Applicant's amendment filed April 12, 2004 have been entered. Claims 36, 57 have been amended. Claims 38-41, 45, 46, 59-62, 66, 67 have been cancelled. New claims 68-84 have

Art Unit: 2851

been added. In view of applicant's remarks in conjunction with the amendment, the rejection of claims 36, 37, 44, 57, 58 an 65 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph is withdrawn.

Turning to the prior art rejection, applicant's arguments with respect to claims 36 and 57 have been found to be persuasive. Accordingly, claims 36, 37, 44 and 57-58 and 65 are allowed. The allowability of newly added claims 68-82 have been carefully considered but have been traversed as set forth above.

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hung Henry V Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-2124. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (First Friday off).

Art Unit: 2851

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Russ Adams can be reached on 571-272-2112. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hung Henry V Nguyen Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2851

hvn 6/21/04